

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/627,216 07/25/2003		Akira Hagiwara	16CT01218	4232	
	Patrick W. Ras	7590 09/19/2007 Patrick W. Rasche			EXAMINER	
		Armstrong Teasdale LLP		TRAN, NHAN T		
	Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2622		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				09/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Total MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Examiner Nhan T. Tran 2622 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In reverse, however, may a may be timely filed If NO pend for reply a specified above, the maximum statistory period wiley play and will expire (x) (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication or period to reply will, by stander, cause the speciation to become ARANCONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the filed into the three homeins after the maining date of this communication, service term aquarrent. See 37 CFR 1.75(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2003 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2c) ☑ This action is filed or 2c July 2003 2d) ☐ This action is filed the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.14 Is/are pending in the application. 4) ② Claim(s) 1.14 Is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is a subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will eight expression, run of which the correspondence address — Eatherton of time may be available under the provision of 30° RT 1:30°, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will eight exit (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failube for period will be filed little than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if filmely filed, may reduce any event guarant. Step 7 CPR 1:70°. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is no communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1:14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2c) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			10/627,216	HAGIWARA, AKIRA				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Enterations of time may be available about the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(i), in no event, nower, may areply be timely feed in the provision of the major and the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(i), in no event, nower, may are plue timely feed in the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(i), in no event, nower, may are plue timely feed in the provision of the provision of the score adaption of this communication. **INO period to reply it specified above, the maintain statutory printed will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the maintain galactic flow or provision of the communication. **Failute to received by the Diffect start than three maintain after the mailing date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any events glaberium and submitted than a provision of the provi			Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-154(p). Into event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-154(p). Into event, however, may a reply be timely filled from the mailing date of this communication. Failun to reply within the set or extended period for ripply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C.§ 133). Any reply return the set or extended period for ripply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C.§ 133). Any reply return deglacement. See 37 CFR 1.744(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 25 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 1-15 year explication is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be analised used the production of 37 CPR 1.736(b). In or event, however, may a reply be timely field after SN. (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Fallow or with the present communication of the production			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to great to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	i <u>ly 2003</u> .					
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Parisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a) <u></u> ☐	(a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicati	on Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 7/25/203, 6/7/2007 and 6/13/2007 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Preliminary Amendment

3. The preliminary amendments to specification filed 7/25/2003 and claims are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rubinsky (WO 02/24062).

Regarding claim 1, Rubinsky discloses a medical image capturing system (Fig. 1) comprising:

a gantry apparatus (located at patient site 206 in Fig. 1) for collecting imaging data of a subject (see page 16, lines 11-16 and page 11, lines 2-10, it is noted that gantry is encompassed by the MRI or CT imaging device in addition to EIT);

an operation console (computer 110) for sending an operation command to said gantry apparatus (Fig. 2B and page 12, line 1-23, wherein the command is sent to device 114 by computer 110 connected to the server 200 in Fig. 1);

at least one server (200) apparatus communicably connected to said operation console via a network (internet 202);

wherein said operation console comprises: a receiving device (input port of computer 110 for receiving signal from 114) for receiving the collected imaging data from said gantry apparatus; and a transmitting device (modem 112) for transmitting said received imaging data to said server apparatus (see Fig. 1-2D and page 10, line 24 – page 12, line 23, wherein imaging data is represented by the current and voltage values of a raw data);

said server apparatus comprises: an image producing device (modem 104) for producing a medical image based on said imaging data transmitted from said operation console; and a transmitting device for transmitting said produced medical image to said operation console (see Fig. 2C and page 12, line 24 – page 13, line 30).

Regarding claim 2, it is clear in Rubinsky that each said server apparatus comprises a separate image producing device (computer 100 executes a software at steps 54-55 in Fig. 2C) for producing a medical image by a different algorithm based on said imaging data transferred from said operation console, and said operation console further comprises a selecting device (computer 110 and modem 112) for selecting a server apparatus to which said imaging data received by said receiving device is to be transferred (see Fig. 1 and 2A for log on to server site at step 16).

Regarding claim 7, this claim is also met by the analysis of claim 1.

Regarding claims 9 & 10, these claims are also met by the analyses of claims 1 & 2, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kassai et al. (EP 1 229 472).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 1, Kassai discloses a medical image capturing system (Fig. 1) comprising:

a gantry apparatus (221 in Fig. 2) for collecting imaging data of a subject (see [0017]);

an operation console (a computer 222 in Fig. 2) for sending an operation command to said gantry apparatus (see [0017]-[0019]);

at least one server (center server 1 in Fig. 1) apparatus communicably connected to said operation console via a network (33); wherein said operation console comprises: a receiving device (221-6 in Fig. 2) for receiving the collected imaging data from said gantry apparatus; and a transmitting device (network interface) for transmitting said received imaging data to said server apparatus (see Figs. 1-4 and [0025]-[0026], wherein the computer of MRI system 2 transmits image data in addition to other data to the center server 1 as log files);

said server apparatus comprises: an image producing device (computer system shown in Fig. 3) for producing a medical image (on a display unit in Fig. 4) based on said imaging data transmitted from said operation console; and a transmitting device for transmitting said produced medical image to said operation console (see Fig. 4 and [0022] and [0050]-0051], wherein the image data sent from the MRI system 2 is updated by latest image processing software and sent back to the MRI together with the latest software).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,216

Art Unit: 2622

· . . · · · ·

Regarding claim 2, Kassai discloses that each said server apparatus comprises a separate image producing device (Fig. 3) for producing a medical image by a different algorithm (i.e., latest image processing software) based on said imaging data transferred from said operation console (see [0020]-[0022], [0030] and [0050]-0051]), and said operation console further comprises a selecting device (computer and network interface) for selecting a server apparatus to which said imaging data received by said receiving device is to be transferred (see [0025]-[0026]).

Regarding claim 3, Kassai further discloses that said server apparatus further comprises a conveying device (i.e., charge calculation unit 14 in Fig. 3) for conveying billing information concerning use of said image producing device to said operation (see [0031]).

Regarding claim 4, Kassai also discloses that said server apparatus further comprises a conveying device for conveying a medical image attached with an image representing the billing information concerning use of said image producing device to said operation console (see Fig. 4 and [0031]).

Regarding claim 5, it is clear in Kassai that said billing information relates to a billing charge corresponding to the amount of data processed by said image producing device (see [0031]).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 6, it is also clear in Kassai that said billing information relates to a billing charge corresponding to the time required for processing and producing said medical image by said image producing device (see [0031]).

Regarding claim 7, this claim is also met by the analysis of claim 1.

Regarding claim 8, this claim is also met by the analysis of claim 3.

Regarding claims 9-14, these method claims are also met by the analyses of claims 1-6, respectively.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,216

Art Unit: 2622

• • • • • • •

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner